THE REGIONAL EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES COUNCIL OF NEW YORK CITY, INC. REMSCO MEETING Tuesday, July 27, 2021 6:12 p.m. A P P E A R A N C E S (VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE): DR. YEDIDYAH LANGSAM, CHAIR MITCHELL POWELL, PARLIAMENTARIAN MARTINA BACARELLA, SECRETARY ROBERT CRUPI, MD, VICE CHAIR GRACE CACCIOLA SAMIA McEACHIN (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) ROBERT BRISTOL JOHN JOHNSTONE (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) TRAVIS KESSEL JOSEF SCHENKER, MD DAVID BEN-ELI, MD (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) JERROLD GELBARD SCOTT ORLANSKI NANCY BENEDETTO VINCENT BARRANCO (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) MEGHAN KESSEL (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) MANFRED FUCHS SEAN GRAVES (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE) JERRY GOMBO DANIEL MEISELS BRIAN LEVINSKY MARIE DIGLIO, REMSCO STAFF

Court Reporter:

SCOTT CHIANG, REMSCO STAFF CHARLIE UNG, REMSCO STAFF

GEORGETTE KEANE, REMSCO STAFF

Charissa Hromadka (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE)

DINNA TAN, REMSCO STAFF (VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE)

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	MS. DIGLIO: Dov Jacobs.
3	(No response.)
4	MS. DIGLIO: Ari Weisz?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. DIGLIO: Grace Cacciola?
7	MS. CACCIOLA: Here.
8	MS. DIGLIO: Christine Mazzola?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. DIGLIO: Samia McEachin?
11	MS. McEACHIN: Present.
12	MS. DIGLIO: William Goffin? We will
13	come back to him. I know he's here.
14	Daniel Meisels?
15	MR. MEISELS: Here.
16	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Bristol?
17	MR. BRISTOL: Present.
18	MS. DIGLIO: Detective John
19	Johnstone?
20	MR. JOHNSTONE: Here.
21	MS. DIGLIO: Travis Kessel?
22	MR. KESSEL: Here.
23	MS. DIGLIO: Jerrold Gelbard?
24	MR. GELBARD: Here.
25	MS. DIGLIO: The famous Josef

1	REMSCO MEETING	
2	Schenker?	
3	MR. SCHENKER: Here.	
4	MS. DIGLIO: Scott Orlanski?	
5	MR. ORLANSKI: Present.	
6	MS. DIGLIO: Mordi Lax? Absent.	
7	Martina Bacarella?	
8	MS. BACARELLA: Here.	
9	MS. DIGLIO: Vincent Barranco?	
10	Vincent Barranco is giving us a thumbs	
11	up. He's virtual.	
12	Arthur Cooper?	
13	MR. COOPER: Here via Zoom.	
14	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Crupi?	
15	DR. CRUPI: Here.	
16	MS. DIGLIO: Meghan Kessel?	
17	MS. KESSEL: Here.	
18	MS. DIGLIO: Thank you. Even on	
19	vacation I got her.	
20	Manfred Fuchs?	
21	MR. FUCHS: Here.	
22	MS. DIGLIO: Sean Graves?	
23	MR. GRAVES: Good evening. Here.	
24	MS. DIGLIO: Jerry Gombo?	
25	(No response.)	

1 REMSCO MEETING 2 MS. DIGLIO: Yedidyah Langsam? 3 DR. LANGSAM: Present. MS. DIGLIO: Brian Levinsky? 4 MR. LEVINSKY: 5 Here. 6 MS. DIGLIO: Poor Dennis Laurie is 7 injured. 8 Mitch Powell? 9 MR. POWELL: Here. 10 MS. DIGLIO: And we have a quorum. 11 DR. LANGSAM: We have a quorum. 12 Thank you very much. 13 Before we proceed, first of all, I 14 would like to welcome everyone here. 15 is very, very nice to see everyone both 16 here, present and also on that screen 17 that's far away and to my right and I 18 think it's very important that we take a 19 moment to thank the staff at REMSCO, all 20 the volunteers across New York City, all 21 the physicians and nurses and other 22 participants in our system for a year and 23 a half essentially of duty that no one 24 imagined one day we would have to do, and 25 you have all stepped up to the plate and

you've all done a tremendous job which will be remembered for years to come. So personally and also in name of the entire REMSCO, I thank you all. Stay healthy and be well.

Now, Marie wants to make an introduction.

MS. DIGLIO: Hi. I would like to introduce Georgette Keane who is our new mental health program coordinator and she is also going to be assisting me with counsel and REMAC, but Georgette was hired to coordinate our mental health team and this also a buildup for our mental health team, but Georgette was working with our vaccination project with New York City and she did a great job and we are very, very fortunate that we were able to fool her into coming to our council.

DR. LANGSAM: We are now going to move very quickly through the meeting because we have four items that must come -- what?

MS. DIGLIO: We have a stenographer who is on the computer. You all have your nametags. If you speak, make sure you state your name and you can even hold up your tag so that she can see your name. Thank you.

DR. LANGSAM: Dr. Langsam.

May I continue?

MS. DIGLIO: Yes.

DR. LANGSAM: We are going to have a very expedited meeting because there are four items that we must get through today so we are going to very quickly, first of all, report that there are no minutes to approve since the previous minute meeting were canceled.

So the next thing is on the correspondence report, the only thing we are going to report right now is a series of enforcement actions by New York State DOH, which must be read in. Bear with me, there are a lot of lot.

Keisha Brockington, 239665 revoked. Effective 5/21/2021, Staten Island, New

Sybil Frost, 467382 suspended. York. Effective 6/1/2021. Suspension stayed, \$2,000 civil penalty, Brooklyn, New York. Robert Lukens, 180967 revoked. Effective 6/1/2021, Saugerties, New York. Joseph Oakes, 459159 suspended one year. Effective 2/3/2021, suspension stayed, probation three years, \$2,000 civil penalty, Edison, New Jersey. Brendan Boose, 420239 suspended one year. Effective 4/4/2021. Suspension stayed, probation three years, \$2,000 civil penalty, Rochester, New York. Maneisha Atkinson, 450703 revoked. Effective 6/3/2021 Rosedale, New York. James Philbin, 427928 revoked. Effective 7/22/2021, \$10,000 civil penalty.

Any other correspondence report we will report on the next meetings hopefully when we have air conditioning and can do this quickly.

We can skip the staff report now and we will move immediately to the Ambulance Committee report. The Ambulance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Committee, which met immediately before this meeting, voted on the following four items, which we will handle one at a time and we will need to vote. So the first item which comes as a seconded motion approved by the Ambulance Committee is as The Ambulance Committee of the follows: Regional Emergency Medical Services Council of New York City finds based upon the receipt of a complete application for Transfer of Operating Authority of Hunter EMS, Inc. 0704 to GMR Event Services, LLC and a review of competency and fitness having been conducted by the Regional Emergency Medical Services Council of New York City and the New York State Department of Health and that review having revealed no issues challenging competency and fitness, recommends that approval of the Transfer of Operating Authority of Hunter EMS, Inc. 0704 to GMR Event Services, LLC operating authority in New York City, County of Kings and Queens be granted.

Before we discuss this motion, is
there anyone sitting as a voting member of
REMSCO who feels they must recuse
themselves because they have a fiduciary
responsibility to either Hunter EMS or GMR
Event Services, LLC?

Hearing none, is there anyone sitting around this table or out in virtual space who feels that someone should have recused themselves?

Hearing none, is there any discussion on this motion?

We will now move to a roll call vote. Yes means you approve this, no obviously you disapprove it, abstain means just what it says. Remember we need a positive number of yes votes so then abstentions are essentially a no vote. Think of that when you abstain. After we have the vote we will pass around the attendance sheet for signature. I'm not sure how you are going to get signatures from people who are not here. We will deal with it when the time comes. Go ahead.

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	MS. DIGLIO: Please answer in favor,
3	against or abstained.
4	Dov Jacobs or Ari Weisz?
5	Samia McEachin?
6	MS. McEACHIN: In favor.
7	MS. DIGLIO: William Goffin? I know
8	he was here before. Let's get back to
9	him.
10	Grace Cacciola?
11	MS. CACCIOLA: In favor.
12	MS. DIGLIO: Daniel Meisels?
13	MR. MEISELS: In favor. John
14	Johnstone?
15	MR. JOHNSTONE: In favor.
16	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Bristol?
17	MR. BRISTOL: In favor.
18	MS. DIGLIO: Travis Kessel?
19	MR. KESSEL: In favor.
20	MS. REPORTER: It's really hard to
21	hear anyone. I heard Travis.
22	MS. DIGLIO: Samia McEachin was in
23	favor, Grace Cacciola was in favor,
24	Daniel Meisels was in favor, John
25	Johnstone was in favor, Robert Bristol

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	was in favor and Travis Kessel was in
3	favor. Everyone try and speak a little
4	louder.
5	Jerry Gelbard?
6	MR. GELBARD: In favor.
7	MS. DIGLIO: Josef Schenker?
8	MR. SCHENKER: In favor.
9	MS. DIGLIO: Scott Orlanski?
10	MR. ORLANSKI: In favor.
11	MS. DIGLIO: Martina Bacarella?
12	MS. BACARELLA: In favor.
13	MS. DIGLIO: Vincent Barranco?
14	MR. BARRANCO: In favor.
15	MS. DIGLIO: Nancy Benedetto?
16	MS. BENEDETTO: In favor.
17	MS. DIGLIO: Arthur Cooper?
18	MR. COOPER: In favor.
19	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Crupi?
20	DR. CRUPI: In favor.
21	MS. DIGLIO: Meghan Kessel?
22	MS. KESSEL: In favor.
23	MS. DIGLIO: Manfred Fuchs?
24	MR. FUCHS: In favor.
25	MS. DIGLIO: Sean Graves?

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	MR. GRAVES: In favor.
3	MS. DIGLIO: Jerry Gombo and Dennis
4	Laurie are absent.
5	Brian Levinsky?
6	MR. LEVINSKY: In favor.
7	MS. DIGLIO: Mitchell Powell?
8	MR. POWELL: In favor.
9	MS. DIGLIO: Dr. Langsam, do you wish
10	to vote?
11	DR. LANGSAM: In favor.
12	MS. DIGLIO: We have 20 votes in the
13	affirmative.
14	DR. LANGSAM: Motion passes and will
15	be sent up to the DOH. Thank you.
16	Next, please be sure to sign the
17	written ballot as it goes around.
18	The Ambulance Committee voted in favor
19	of the following motion and we bring it to
20	you as a seconded motion. The Ambulance
21	Committee of the Regional Emergency
22	Medical Services Council of finds, based
23	upon the receipt of a complete application
24	for Transfer of Operating Authority of
25	Hunter Ambulance, Inc. 7162 to GMR Event

Services, LLC and a review of competency and fitness having been conducted by the Regional Emergency Medical Services

Council of New York City and the New York State Department of Health and that review having revealed no issues challenging competency and fitness, recommends that approval of the Transfer of Operating Authority of Hunter Ambulance, Inc. 7162 to GMR Event Services, LLC operating authority in five counties of New York City be granted.

Before we discuss, is there anyone sitting around the table or out in virtual space who had a fiduciary responsibility of Hunter Ambulance or GMR Event Services that wishes to recuse themselves?

Hearing none, is there anyone sitting around this table or out in the Zoom meeting who feels that someone should recused themselves from this vote and discussion?

Hearing none, we now move to a discussion. Is there any discussion?

1 REMSCO MEETING 2 Hearing no discussion, we move to a 3 roll call vote and there will be a written ballot being passed around. Please speak 4 5 loudly. 6 MS. DIGLIO: Once again, in favor, 7 opposed or abstain. 8 Samia McEachin? 9 MS. McEACHIN: In favor. 10 MS. DIGLIO: William Goffin? 11 Grace Cacciola? 12 MS. CACCIOLA: In favor. 13 MS. DIGLIO: Daniel Meisels? 14 MR. MEISELS: In favor. 15 MS. DIGLIO: John Johnstone? 16 MR. JOHNSTONE: In favor. 17 MS. DIGLIO: Robert Bristol? 18 MR. BRISTOL: In favor. 19 MS. DIGLIO: Travis Kessel? 20 MS. KESSEL: In favor. 21 MS. DIGLIO: Jerry Gelbard? 22 MR. GELBARD: In favor. 2.3 MS. DIGLIO: Josef Schenker? 24 MR. SCHENKER: In favor. 25 MS. DIGLIO: Scott Orlanski?

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	MR. ORLANSKI: In favor.
3	MS. DIGLIO: Martina Bacarella?
4	MS. BACARELLA: In favor.
5	MS. DIGLIO: Vincent Barranco?
6	MR. BARRANCO: In favor.
7	MS. DIGLIO: Nancy Benedetto?
8	MS. BENEDETTO: In favor.
9	MS. DIGLIO: Arthur Cooper?
10	MR. COOPER: In favor.
11	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Crupi?
12	DR. CRUPI: In favor.
13	MS. DIGLIO: Sean Graves?
14	MR. GRAVES: In favor.
15	MS. DIGLIO: Yedidyah Langsam?
16	DR. LANGSAM: In favor.
17	MS. DIGLIO: Mitchell Powell?
18	MR. POWELL: In favor.
19	MS. DIGLIO: 20 in favor, 0 opposed,
20	0 abstained.
21	DR. LANGSAM: Thank you. The motion
22	passes and will be sent to New York State
23	DOH.
24	The Ambulance Committee voted to
25	approval the following which comes to you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

as a seconded motion. The Ambulance Committee of the Regional Emergency Medical Services Council of New York City finds, based upon receipt of a complete application for Transfer of Operating Authority of Ace Ambulance, LLC 0884 to American Medical Response of New York, LLC, and a review of competency and fitness having been conducted by the Regional Emergency Medical Services Council of New York City and the New York State Department of Health, and that review having revealed no issues challenging the competency and fitness, recommends that approval of the Transfer of Operating Authority of Ace Ambulance, LLC 0884 to American Medical Response of New York, LLC, operating authority in five counties of New York City be granted.

Before we enter into a discussion, is there anyone sitting around here or on the Zoom meeting who wishes to recuse themselves by virtue of having fiduciary responsibility to either Ace Ambulance or

1	REMSCO MEETING	
2	American Medical Response of New York?	
3	Hearing none, is there anyone sitting	
4	around this table or out there in Zoom	
5	land who feels that someone should recuse	
6	themselves?	
7	Hearing none, we open the floor for a	
8	discussion.	
9	Is there any discussion on the motion?	
10	Hearing none, we will begin a roll	
11	call vote and please don't forget to also	
12	sign the document as it comes around.	
13	MS. DIGLIO: Samia McEachin?	
14	MS. McEACHIN: In favor.	
15	MS. DIGLIO: Grace Cacciola?	
16	MS. CACCIOLA: In favor.	
17	MS. DIGLIO: Daniel Meisels?	
18	MR. MEISELS: In favor.	
19	MS. DIGLIO: John Johnstone?	
20	MR. JOHNSTONE: In favor.	
21	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Bristol?	
22	MR. BRISTOL: In favor.	
23	MS. DIGLIO: Travis Kessel?	
24	MR. KESSEL: In favor.	
25	MS. DIGLIO: Jerry Gelbard?	

1		REMSCO MEETING
2	MR.	GELBARD: In favor.
3	MS.	DIGLIO: Josef Schenker?
4	MR.	SCHENKER: In favor.
5	MS.	DIGLIO: Scott Orlanski?
6	MR.	ORLANSKI: In favor.
7	MS.	DIGLIO: Martina Bacarella?
8	MS.	BACARELLA: In favor.
9	MS.	DIGLIO: Vincent Barranco?
10	MR.	BARRANCO: In favor.
11	MS.	DIGLIO: Nancy Benedetto?
12	MS.	BENEDETTO: In favor.
13	MS.	DIGLIO: Arthur Cooper?
14	MR.	COOPER: In favor.
15	MS.	DIGLIO: Robert Crupi?
16	DR.	CRUPI: In favor.
17	MS.	DIGLIO: Meghan Kessel?
18	MS.	KESSEL: In favor.
19	MS.	DIGLIO: Manfred Fuchs?
20	MR.	FUCHS: In favor.
21	MS.	DIGLIO: Sean Graves?
22	MR.	GRAVES: In favor.
23	MS.	DIGLIO: Yedidyah Langsam?
24	DR.	LANGSAM: In favor.
25	MS.	DIGLIO: Brian Levinsky?

MR. LEVINSKY: In favor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DIGLIO: Mitchell Powell?

MR. POWELL: In favor.

MS. DIGLIO: Once again we have 20 in favors, 0 abstentions, 0 votes against.

DR. LANGSAM: The motion passes.

The following motion was not approved by the Ambulance Committee and therefore comes before you as a non-seconded motion so we will need to have a second should we wish to discuss it. The motion is as follows, okay, or I should no longer say the Ambulance Committee. Anyway the Ambulance Committee REMSCO New York City, which has conducted a Public Hearing and has carefully reviewed the information, materials and record in this matter, recommends that the Hearing Officer's report in the matter of the application submitted by Bay Community VAC to expand its existing territory to include the community of Bayside bound by the Long Island Expressway to the South, 23rd Avenue, 24th Avenue and 26th Avenue to the

North, the Cross Island Parkway to the East and Francis Lewis Boulevard to the West geographical area formerly served by the former Bayside Community Volunteer Ambulance, be accepted and the application be recommended for approval.

This was turned down by the Ambulance Committee. Does somebody want to make the motion from the REMSCO to approve it? We need to have a motion because we need to discuss it.

Scott Orlanski makes the motion to approve it.

We now need a second.

Nancy Benedetto makes the second to discuss it.

Before we begin, is there anyone who has a fiduciary responsibility to Bay Community VAC or to any of its opposing parties who wishes to abstain from the discussion?

Is there anyone around this table or sitting in the Zoom meeting who feels that someone should recuse themselves from the

discussion and the vote?

Hearing none, we will open the floor for discussion. I believe Dr. Crupi would like to make a statement.

Dr. Crupi, I think you need the microphone in front of you.

DR. CRUPI: Thank you. At the Ambulance Committee, I made a public statement with some prepared remarks which I will repeat here.

The reason for my statements, okay, is related to the Public Hearing and having introduced me into the conversation at the end of that hearing were -- I'll have the opportunity to make any final rebuttal and this is a response to remarks made by Mr. Michael Lyons from Bay Community. In light of Mr. Michael Lyons inaccurate statements and insinuations targeting me at the Public Hearing for Bay Community Volunteer Ambulance Corps expansion application, I find no other recourse than to respond publicly at Ambulance Committee and REMSCO in order to correct the public

record. Since he included me in comments during his final rebuttal at the hearing, there was no opportunity for me to respond.

First and foremost, at no time was there pressure put on me by GOVAC or any other organization, group or individual to withdraw my letter of support for Bay Community's expansion application and to step down as Bay Community's medical director.

If it had been my intention to sabotage their application, I could have resigned as a medical director. Instead, I agreed to stay on as interim medical director until a replacement could be found. It I had done otherwise, their application would have been deemed incomplete and not have proceeded to a Public Hearing. My position has always been that the application should succeed or fail on its own merits or lack of. I tried to avoid having my announcement to withdraw my letter of support and step

2.0

2.3

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

down as medical director to become a consideration in the final determination.

As medical director of other EMS agencies,

I understand the need to be fair and avoid even the appearance of partiality.

Mr. Lyons at the Public Hearing insinuated my decision to step down was incredulous to him and motivated either by my own intent to harm his application or being influenced by others to do so. knows very well why I made my decision because I made my decisions very clear to Up until now, I have tried not to talk about it publicly so not to appear to be trying to influence the outcome of the application, but I was willing to offer Bay Community a face-saving public explanation for my decisions, but Mr. Lyons chose not to take that road, okay, instead he introduced me into the Public Hearing is a way that is highly inaccurate.

I explained to Mr. Lyons that after more than 20 years of service, I was

extremely disappointed with the lack of transparency in his plans to have Bay
Community work with Bayside Community
Ambulance Corps. I never met Chris Capo or other members of the Bayside Board, nor have I met with members of the Bay
Community Board to discuss their intentions of the collaboration of plans for the expansion. I have concerns over
Bay Community's resources for an expansion and their plans to overlap geographic areas covered GOVAC's existing approval.

Most concerning to me was when Mr.

Lyons asked for my letter of support. At that time, he concealed that Bay Community had appealed GOVAC's approval for expansion. He did not deny this when I finally confronted him on it and I also was distressed to have discovered social media postings attacking GOVAC making claims that GOVAC's expansion was only for monetary purposes. I felt this was very damaging to the image of EMS and our professionalism. Mr. Lyons did not

acknowledge that he saw any reason for my concerns. At that point it reaffirmed my decision to withdraw my letter of support and step down as medical director.

At the Public Hearing, there was strong evidence presented that Bay Community plagiarized its application. The day after the hearing, there were social media postings attacking the integrity of REMSCO. As a region, I have concerns and I think we need to take a look at the behavior, the misrepresentation of the application and I don't really think that these misrepresentations of this behavior is something we should reward.

DR. LANGSAM: Is there any questions?

MS. DIGLIO: Dr. Cooper has his hand

up in the Zoom chat.

DR. LANGSAM: Will we hear him?

MS. DIGLIO: Dr. Cooper, do you want

to speak?

MR. COOPER: Yes, I do. I just wanted the Ambulance Committee to explain

to the members why they turned down this application.

DR. LANGSAM: In short, a large number of members felt that the application for a showing of need was based on inaccurate and possibly fraudulent information and thus the individuals were not able to show that a public need really existed. In other words, because the members of the Ambulance Committee did not or took issue with the statements made by the applicant, they did not believe that a public need had been shown by what was contained in the written material that was submitted to the Public Hearing, and I think you might hear some of that discussion here in a moment. So you will hear it accurately from the people who said it before. Dr. Cooper, is that okay?

MR. COOPER: Yes, that's fine.

DR. LANGSAM: Thank you.

Is there any other discussion?

22

2.3

2.4

25

2.1

2.3

2.4

MR. GELBARD: Thank you everyone.

After looking at the Hearing Officer's report and the information and attachments that were contained in the original application and subsequent information, I find it important that this body hears some information regarding the discussion and subsequent vote that we are going to talk about tonight.

'20, Glen Oaks Volunteer Ambulance application for expansion of territory was approved by this body indicating that there was public need. However, in December of '20, Bay Community Volunteer Ambulance, the applicant tonight, appealed this very same approval. The applicant now uses the very same document that was submitted and dataset and comes to this body requesting an expansion of that very same territory. So by virtue of their appeal, Bay Community indicates that they disagree with the decision of this body

2.3

and that there is no public need. So my question is, how can they come here tonight and use that very same information on their behalf? Their application and Public Hearing testimony cite no new information. More importantly, the information presented in their submission is plagiarized and is not a truthful and accurate representation of their agency's information.

The EMS paragon in this area was changed back in November with the Glen
Oaks expansion approval, which was prevented by appeal submitted by the very same entity that is now making this new application. Bay Community's application hearing process and officer's opinion was skewed by the very fact that they submitted another person's work as their own.

That material was developed and written by myself on behalf of the Glen Oaks Volunteer's application process that began and concluded last fall. This was

800-678-0166

over a year of researching information and development, as well as formatting and writing it to align with New York City REMSCO and New York State DOH application checklists and requirements. Those were the actual templates that were available, not our application as Bay Community chose to do.

Yes, the application was public information, but should have only been used as a guide for formatting their own individual application. Submitting the same package that includes copied works is my intellectual property. It may not have been copy written, but it is my extensive detailed work. The applicant failed to conduct any new individual research relevant to their entity or develop their own specific statistical datasets that support their case of need.

Another piece is the unlimited by Dr. Rotkowitz's testimony, which is also misleading and taking by the Hearing Officer as fact. Let's set the record

2.0

2.4

1.5

straight, Dr. Rotkowitz is an ED physician at Queens Hospital Center, not a facility that the agency regularly delivers patients to or has a continuous relationship with. He also does not reside in the Bay Community, nor the requested expansion area and does not have firsthand knowledge of the actual need for EMS in this area.

In fact, as Dr. Crupi pointed on earlier, he just signed on as the new medical director. Mind you, this is the same testimony he gave for the Forest Hills VAC on their expansion application months ago. I'm seeing a pattern.

Should we approve this application?

We are awarding poor, unprofessional
behavior as the applicant clearly did not
submit their own work and deliberately
blocked another approved agency for
operating in the proposed area by their
appeal. There are multiple mistruths,
inaccuracies about the agency's
organizational and operational status and

2.0

2.4

embellished information to assist with garnering this body's support for expansion.

Public information that was obtained and verified was provided at hearing and that identifies the agency was not accurate and truthful and above all shows that they do not maintain the operational capacity to expand their operating area four to five fold. Denying this expansion on the potential adverse impacts to the region's EMS system is also within our realm.

If we choose to approve, we absolutely pave the way for a lineup at our door with other applicants from all sectors citing unlimited need for EMS resources as established by this body. In previous proceedings, we disapproved other agencies based on various factors that effected the dynamics and varied impacts to the Regional EMS system. This should not be any different. Look at how the systems are designed around the state. Do we see

volunteer fire companies who cover exact territories as a neighboring department, no, because this does not fit the business model, operational or logistic needs of the community based volunteer fire EMS.

This request to overlap and already approved application is disruptive to any volunteer motto.

You split volunteers funding opportunities as well as set up agencies to compete for all calls. In the end, we will formerly assist in the demise of these same volunteer agencies who choose this path.

Given all this, I must recommend that we do not move forward with approving this application as it is not a truthful and appropriate account of the situation and statistics at the time of the actual submission. It's data that was approximately a year prior and we ask that this not be approved. Thank you so much.

DR. LANGSAM: Is there any further discussion?

MR. KESSEL: Hello everyone. Travis Kessel. Just to build off of what Dr. Crupi referenced and what Jerry referenced just prior to me, the application presented as corroborated by the Hearing Officer's report was a complete and utter copy of the Glen Oaks' application. It was completely plagiarized. Where the differences in the plagiarism came into play and where the difference in the percentage was, where the agency changed Glen Oaks Volunteer to Bay Community and where they put statistics about their own call volume, membership and revenue.

Now, based on FOIL requests from New York State and 990s filed by that agency, the numbers they submitted for those things were all found to be fraudulent as well. So for what wasn't plagiarized was fraudulent.

In their testimony, they also chose to slander certain individuals, both of the members of REMSCO and REMSCO as a whole.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

So now we are at plagiarized, fraudulent statistics and slander. The statistics they provided were great to determine need at the time of Glen Oaks' application.

Unfortunately, their application took place a -- their Public Hearing took place a year and a half after the Glen Oaks' application and did not include any statistics for that time gap. So no need was shown determined at the time of their application.

Interestingly enough, some municipal units had been shifted into that area to make up for a lack in call volume, as well as some commercial resources were reallocated. So there is no idea if a need was actually established at the time of the Bay Community application.

On top of all the issues with the application itself, it didn't show the determination of need at the time of their application and it's my recommendation that because of the fact that need was not shown for the time of the application and

all the issues with the application itself, that it can't be determined to show need and cannot be approved.

DR. LANGSAM: Thank you.

Is there any other discussion?

Does anybody out in the virtual

meeting wish to say anything?

Hearing none, we are now going to move first to a roll call vote and then signature vote.

Now, the motion is stated in a positive sense. Let me explain that. I am going to read the motion, the Ambulance Committee REMSCO -- actually, I'm going to strike the words Ambulance Committee because they did not. The REMSCO New York City, which has conducted a Public Hearing, has carefully reviewed the information, material, records on this matter recommends that the Hearing Officer's report in the matter of the application submitted by the Bay Community VAC to expand its existing territory bounded by Long Island Expressway to the

South, 23rd Avenue, 24th Avenue, 26th Avenue to the North, the Cross Island Parkway to the East and Francis Lewis Boulevard to the West geographical area formerly served by the former Bayside Community Volunteer Ambulance Corps be accepted and the application be recommended for approval.

So if you vote yes, you are voting to make the recommendation for approval. If you vote no, then you are not voting and if you abstain, that doesn't contribute to the yes votes and we are required to have a positive majority of approval to pass the motion. So an abstention is the same essentially as a no vote. Is that clear? Voted yes means you approve them and this motion will go forward, voting no means you are not approving them and the motion will be defeated.

I am not going to vote unless there is a tie.

MS. DIGLIO: Dov Jacobs?
Samia McEachin?

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	
	MS. McEACHIN: Oppose.
3	MS. DIGLIO: Grace Cacciola?
4	MS. CACCIOLA: Abstain.
5	MS. DIGLIO: Daniel Meisels?
6	MR. MEISELS: Abstain.
7	MS. DIGLIO: John Johnstone?
8	MR. JOHNSTONE: Abstain.
9	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Bristol?
10	MR. BRISTOL: Abstain.
11	MS. DIGLIO: Travis Kessel?
12	MR. KESSEL: Abstain.
13	MS. DIGLIO: Jerrold Gelbard?
14	MR. GELBARD: Abstain.
15	MS. DIGLIO: Josef Schenker?
16	MR. SCHENKER: Abstain.
17	MS. DIGLIO: Scott Orlanski?
18	MR. ORLANSKI: Opposed.
19	MS. DIGLIO: Martina Bacarella?
20	MS. BACARELLA: Opposed.
21	MS. DIGLIO: Vincent Barranco?
22	MR. BARRANCO: Abstained.
23	MS. DIGLIO: Nancy Benedetto?
24	MS. BENEDETTO: Approved.
25	MS. DIGLIO: Arthur Cooper?

1	REMSCO MEETING
2	MR. COOPER: Abstain.
3	MS. DIGLIO: Robert Crupi?
4	DR. CRUPI: Abstain.
5	MS. DIGLIO: Meghan Kessel?
6	MS. KESSEL: Opposed.
7	MS. DIGLIO: Manfred Fuchs?
8	MR. FUCHS: Opposed.
9	MS. DIGLIO: Sean Graves?
10	MR. GRAVES: Opposed.
11	MS. DIGLIO: Brian Levinsky?
12	MR. LEVINSKY: Opposed.
13	MS. DIGLIO: Mitchell Powell?
14	MR. POWELL: Opposed.
15	MS. DIGLIO: We have one vote in
16	favor, eight against, seven abstentions.
17	DR. LANGSAM: The motion does not
18	carry.
19	It's getting very warm in here. Let's
20	end this meeting as quickly as possible.
21	Do need to have a report about anything
22	from anyone else?
23	MS. DIGLIO: No. I have to tell you
24	in the past when we have done these votes
25	and voted basically to deny. We have to

REMSCO MEETING

have reasons.

DR. LANGSAM: The reasons, I think you can get what we said. People expressed the reasons, it's on the record, the stenographer has the reasons that were stated. I think they are there. I'm not going to state the reasons. The reasons were stated by the REMSCO.

MS. DIGLIO: Let me just explain, when you say has to be an affirmative vote, we have to vote in the affirmative that we are denying an application. No? That's what we have done in the past.

DR. LANGSAM: The motion can't be a motion to deny. That's not affirmative. The motion has to be to approve, which is what the motion was, and we've turned that motion down.

MS. DIGLIO: Okay. Then we are done.

DR. LANGSAM: I'm not aware of any unfinished business, I'm not aware of any new business. There's something about a nominations report. Do you want to say

1 REMSCO MEETING 2 something about it? 3 MS. DIGLIO: The nominations committee did meet. This is very quick. 4 5 We met in June. We only had one at large 6 seat that had to be reappointed and 7 that's for Dennis Laurie and the nominating, which is made up of Regional 8 9 Council members and Board members 10 recommended to give Mr. Laurie his second 11 term and we need a vote. Say your names 12 so she can hear you. 13 MR. GELBARD: Jerry Gelbard making a 14 motion to approve the nominating 15 committee's recommendation to reappoint 16 Dennis Laurie for an at large seat. 17 MR. KESSEL: Travis Kessel seconding 18 that motion. 19 DR. LANGSAM: We do not need a roll 20 call vote for this. 21 All in favor say aye. 22 (Response was aye.) 23 Anyone against say nay. 24 Are there any abstentions? 25 MS. DIGLIO: Are there any

REMSCO MEETING 1 2 abstentions? No. 3 DR. LANGSAM: No. Therefore he is 4 appointed for his second term. 5 Thank you. Have a good night 6 everyone. Thank you very much for coming 7 and for taking the first step to a new normal world. We look forward to seeing 8 9 you all at the next meeting, including 10 those who are out there in Zoom land. A motion to adjourn after it is all 11 12 signed. So the motion is subject to you 13 signing. The meeting does not end until 14 you all sign. 15 Motion to adjourn all in favor? 16 (Response was aye.) 17 After you sign, you can all leave. 18 (Time Noted: 7:00 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I, CHARISSA HROMADKA, a shorthand reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 27th day of July, 2021.

CHARISSA HROMADKA



				rage 45
A	12:13 39:12,13	24:17 27:18	35:4 37:24	aware (2)
able (2)	39:17	appear (1)	approving (2)	39:22,23
5:20 26:9	agencies (4)	23:15	32:17 36:20	aye (3)
absent (2)	23:4 31:20	appearance (1)	approximate	40:21,22 41:16
3:6 12:4	32:11,14	23:6	32:22	10.21,22 11.10
absolutely (1)	agency (5)	applicant (5)	area (8)	В
31:15	30:4,21 31:7	26:14 27:18,19	20:4 28:12	Bacarella (11)
abstain (14)	33:13,18	29:17 30:19	30:8,10,22	1:10 3:7,8
9:16,20 14:7	agency's (2)	applicants (1)	31:10 34:14	11:11,12 15:3
20:21 36:13	28:10 30:24	31:17	36:5	15:4 18:7,8
37:4,6,8,10	ago (1)	application (areas (1)	37:19,20
37:12,14,16	30:16	8:11 12:23	24:13	back (3)
38:2,4	agreed (1)	16:6 19:20	Ari (2)	2:13 10:8
abstained (3)	22:16	20:6 21:23	2:4 10:4	28:13
10:3 15:20	ahead (1)	22:10,14,19	Arthur (5)	background
37:22	9:25	22:22 23:10	3:12 11:17	27:12
abstention (1)	air (1)	23:17 25:8,14	15:9 18:13	ballot (2)
36:16	7:21	26:3,6 27:6	37:25	12:17 14:4
abstentions (5)	align (1)	27:14 28:5,17	asked (1)	Barranco (11)
9:18 19:6	29:4	28:17,24 29:5	24:15	1:16 3:9,10
38:16 40:24	Ambulance (29:8,10,13	assist (2)	11:13,14 15:5
41:2	7:24,25 8:7,8	30:15,17 32:8	31:2 32:13	15:6 18:9,10
accepted (2)	12:18,20,25	32:18 33:6,9	assisting (1)	37:21,22
20:6 36:8	13:10,17	34:5,6,9,12	5:12	based (7)
account (1)	15:24 16:2,7	34:19,21,23	Atkinson (1)	8:10 12:22
32:19	16:17,25 19:9	34:25 35:2,23	7:15	16:5 26:7
accurate (3)	19:14,15 20:6	36:8 39:14	attachments	31:21 32:6
28:10 31:8	20:8 21:9,22	appointed (1)	27:5	33:17
42:8	21:24 24:5	41:4	attacking (2)	basically (1)
accurately (1)	25:25 26:12	appropriate (24:21 25:10	38:25
26:20	27:13,18	32:19	attendance (1)	Bay (20)
Ace (3)	35:14,16 36:7	approval (12)	9:21	19:21 20:19
16:7,17,25	American (3)	8:21 13:9	authority (9)	21:18,21 22:9
acknowledge	16:8,18 17:2	15:25 16:16	8:12,22,23	22:11 23:18
25:2	announceme	20:7 24:13,17	12:24 13:10	24:3,7,11,16
actions (1)	22:24	27:19 28:14	13:12 16:7,17	25:7 27:17,24
6:21	answer (1)	36:9,11,15	16:19	28:17 29:8
actual (3)	10:2	approve (9)	available (1)	30:7 33:14
29:7 30:9	anybody (1)	6:16 9:15	29:7	34:19 35:23
32:20	35:7	20:10,14	Avenue (6)	Bayside (5)
adjourn (2)	Anyway (1)	30:17 31:15	19:25,25,25	19:23 20:5
41:11,15	19:14	36:18 39:18	36:2,2,3	24:4,6 36:6
adverse (1)	appeal (3)	40:14	avoid (2)	Bear (1)
31:12	27:24 28:15	approved (8)	22:24 23:5	6:22
affirmative (4)	30:23	8:7 19:8 27:15	awarding (1)	began (1)
	appealed (2)	30:21 32:8,23	30:18	28:25
		1	I	

r					Tage 44
	behalf (2)	7:4	16:15	comes (6)	6:3
	28:5,23	build (1)	changed (2)	8:6 9:25 15:25	concealed (1)
	behavior (3)	33:3	28:13 33:13	17:12 19:10	24:16
	25:13,16 30:19	buildup (1)	Charissa (3)	27:21	concerning (1)
	believe (2)	5:15	1:24 42:4,15	coming (2)	24:14
	21:4 26:14	business (3)	CHARLIE (1)	5:20 41:6	concerns (3)
	BEN-ELI (1)	32:4 39:23,24	1:20	comments (1)	24:10 25:3,12
	1:14		chat (1)	22:2	concluded (1)
	Benedetto (10)	C	25:20	commericial	28:25
	1:15 11:15,16	C (3)	checklists (1)	34:16	conditioning
	15:7,8 18:11	1:8 42:2,2	29:6	committee (19)	7:21
	18:12 20:16	Cacciola (12)	CHIANG (1)	7:25 8:2,7,8	conduct (1)
	37:23,24	1:11 2:6,7	1:20	12:18,21	29:18
	blocked (1)	10:10,11,23	choose (2)	15:24 16:3	conducted (5)
	30:21	14:11,12	31:15 32:14	19:9,14,15	8:15 13:3
	Board (3)	17:15,16 37:3	chose (3)	20:9 21:9,24	16:10 19:16
	24:6,8 40:9	37:4	23:20 29:8	25:25 26:12	35:18
	body (5)	call (7)	33:23	35:15,16 40:4	confronted (1)
	27:8,15,22,25	9:14 14:3	Chris (1)	committee's (24:19
	31:19	17:11 33:15	24:5	40:15	consideratio
	body's (1)	34:15 35:10	Christine (1)	community (23:3
	31:3	40:20	2:8	19:21,23 20:5	contained (2)
	Boose (1)	calls (1)	cite (1)	20:20 21:18	26:16 27:5
	7:11	32:12	28:6	21:21 23:18	continue (1)
	Boulevard (2)	canceled (1)	citing (1)	24:4,4,8,16	6:9
	20:3 36:5	6:17	31:17	25:8 27:17,24	continuous (1)
	bound (1)	capacity (1)	City (14)	29:8 30:7	30:5
	19:23	31:10	1:3 4:20 5:18	32:6 33:14	contribute (1)
	bounded (1)	Capo (1)	8:10,17,24	34:19 35:23	36:13
	35:25	24:5	13:5,13 16:4	36:7	conversation
	Brendan (1)	carefully (2)	16:12,20	Community'	21:14
	7:10	19:17 35:19	19:15 29:4	22:10,11 24:11	Cooper (15)
	Brian (5)	carry (1)	35:18	28:17	3:12,13 11:17
	1:19 4:4 12:5	38:18	civil (4)	companies (1)	11:18 15:9,10
	18:25 38:11	case (1)	7:4,9,13,18	32:2	18:13,14
	bring (1)	29:21	claims (1)	compete (1)	25:19,22,24
	12:19	Center (1)	24:22	32:12	26:21,23
	Bristol (12)	30:3	clear (2)	competency (6)	37:25 38:2
	1:12 2:16,17	certain (1)	23:13 36:17	8:14,20 13:2,8	coordinate (1)
	10:16,17,25	33:24	clearly (1)	16:9,15	5:14
	14:17,18	certify (1)	30:19	complete (4)	coordinator (
	17:21,22 37:9	42:6	collaboration	8:11 12:23	5:11
	37:10	CHAIR (2)	24:9	16:5 33:8	copied (1)
	Brockington	1:9,10	come (4)	completely (1)	29:14
	6:24	challenging (3)	2:13 5:3,25	33:9	copy (2)
	Brooklyn (1)	8:19 13:7	28:3	computer (1)	29:16 33:8
		I	I a	ı	

				raye 43
Corps (3)	dataset (1)	23:3 34:22	37:15,17,19	Dr (41)
21:22 24:5	27:21	determine (1)	37:21,23,25	1:9 3:15 4:3,11
36:7	datasets (1)	34:4	38:3,5,7,9,11	5:22 6:8,8,11
correct (1)	29:20	determined (2)	38:13,15,23	11:20 12:9,11
21:25	DAVID (1)	34:11 35:3	39:11,21 40:3	12:14 15:12
corresponde	1:14	develop (1)	40:25	15:16,21
6:19 7:19		29:19		
	day (3) 4:24 25:9		DINNA (1) 1:21	18:16,24 19:7
corroborated 33:6	4:24 23:9	developed (1)		21:4,6,8
		28:22	director (7)	25:18,19,21
council (9)	deal (1)	development	22:12,15,17	25:22 26:4,21
1:3 5:21 8:10	9:24	29:3	23:2,4 25:5	26:24 29:23
8:16 12:22	December (1)	difference (1)	30:13	30:2,11 32:24
13:5 16:4,12	27:17	33:12	disagree (1)	33:4 35:5
40:9	decision (4)	differences (1)	27:25	38:4,17 39:3
counsel (1)	23:8,12 25:4	33:10	disappointed	39:16,22
5:13	27:25	different (1)	24:2	40:19 41:3
counties (2)	decisions (2)	31:24	disapprove (1)	duty (1)
13:12 16:20	23:13,19	DIGLIO (113)	9:16	4:23
County (1)	deemed (1)	1:19 2:2,4,6,8	disapproved	dynamics (1)
8:24	22:19	2:10,12,16,18	31:20	31:22
Court (1)	defeated (1)	2:21,23,25	discovered (1)	T)
1:23	36:21	3:4,6,9,14,16	24:20	E
cover (1)	deliberately (3:18,22,24	discuss (6)	E (4)
32:2	30:20	4:2,4,6,10 5:9	9:2 13:14	1:8,8 42:2,2
covered (1)	delivers (1)	6:2,10 10:2,7	19:12 20:12	earlier (1)
24:13	30:4	10:12,16,18	20:17 24:8	30:12
Cross (2)	demise (1)	10:22 11:7,9	discussion (16)	East (2)
20:2 36:3	32:13	11:11,13,15	9:12 13:23,25	20:3 36:4
Crupi (16)	Dennis (4)	11:17,19,21	13:25 14:2	ED (1)
1:10 3:14,15	4:6 12:3 40:7	11:23,25 12:3	16:21 17:8,9	30:2
11:19,20	40:16	12:7,9,12	20:22 21:2,4	Edison (1)
15:11,12	deny (3)	14:6,10,13,15	26:19,25 27:9	7:10
18:15,16 21:4	24:18 38:25	14:17,19,21	32:25 35:6	effected (1)
21:6,8 30:11	39:17	14:23,25 15:3	disruptive (1)	31:21
33:4 38:3,4	denying (2)	15:5,7,9,11	32:8	Effective (7)
	31:11 39:14	15:13,15,17	distressed (1)	6:25 7:3,5,8,12
D	department (4)	15:19 17:13	24:20	7:15,17
damaging (1)	8:18 13:6	17:15,17,19	document (2)	eight (1)
24:24	16:13 32:3	17:21,23,25	17:12 27:20	38:16
Daniel (7)	designed (1)	18:3,5,7,9,11	DOH (4)	either (3)
1:18 2:14	31:25	18:13,15,17	6:22 12:15	9:6 16:25 23:9
10:12,24	detailed (1)	18:19,21,23	15:23 29:5	embellished (
14:13 17:17	29:17	18:25 19:3,5	door (1)	31:2
37:5	Detective (1)	25:19,22	31:16	Emergency (7)
data (1)	2:18	36:24 37:3,5	Dov (3)	1:2 8:9,16
32:21	determinatio	37:7,9,11,13	2:2 10:4 36:24	12:21 13:4

				rage 40
16:3,11	6:12	11:6,8,10,12	4:13 6:14 8:5	found (2)
EMS (11)	explain (3)	11:14,16,18	22:6 35:10	22:18 33:20
8:13,22 9:6	25:25 35:13	11:20,22,24	41:7	four (4)
23:4 24:24	39:11	12:2,6,8,11	firsthand (1)	5:24 6:13 8:3
28:12 30:10	explained (1)	12:18 14:6,9	30:9	31:11
31:13,18,23	23:24	14:12,14,16	fit (1)	Francis (2)
32:6	explanation (1)	14:18,20,22	32:4	20:3 36:4
enforcement	23:19	14:24 15:2,4	fitness (6)	fraudulent (4)
6:21	expressed (1)	15:6,8,10,12	8:14,20 13:3,8	26:8 33:20,22
enter (1)	39:5	15:14,16,18	16:10,15	34:2
16:21	Expressway	15:19 17:14	five (3)	front (1)
entire (1)	19:24 35:25	17:16,18,20	13:12 16:19	21:7
5:4	extensive (1)	17:10,16,20	31:11	Frost (1)
entity (2)	29:16	18:4,6,8,10	floor (2)	7:2
28:16 29:19	extremely (1)	18:12,14,16	17:7 21:3	Fuchs (9)
essentially (3)	24:2	18:18,20,22	FOIL (1)	1:17 3:20,21
4:23 9:19	24.2	18:24 19:2,4	33:17	11:23,24
36:17	F	38:16 40:21		18:19,20 38:7
	F (1)	41:15	fold (1) 31:11	38:8
established (2)	42:2			
31:19 34:18	face-saving (1)	favors (1) 19:6	following (4)	funding (1) 32:10
evening (1) 3:23	23:18		8:3 12:19	
	facility (1)	feels (5)	15:25 19:8	further (1)
Event (6)	30:3	9:4,10 13:21 17:5 20:24	follows (2) 8:8 19:13	32:24
8:13,23 9:7 12:25 13:11	fact (4)			G
13:17	28:19 29:25	felt (2) 24:23 26:5	fool (1) 5:20	gap (1)
	30:11 34:24	fiduciary (4)	foregoing (1)	34:10
evidence (1) 25:7	factors (1)	9:5 13:16	42:7	garnering (1)
	31:21	16:24 20:19		31:3
exact (1) 32:2	fail (1)		foremost (1) 22:6	Gelbard (14)
	22:23	filed (1) 33:18		1:14 2:23,24
existed (1)	failed (1)	1	Forest (1)	11:5,6 14:21
26:10	29:17	final (3)	30:14	14:22 17:25
existing (3)	fair (1)	21:16 22:3	forget (1)	18:2 27:2
19:22 24:13	23:5	23:3	17:11	37:13,14
35:24	fall (1)	finally (1)	formatting (2)	40:13,13
expand (3)	28:25	24:19	29:3,12	geographic (1)
19:21 31:10	famous (1)	find (2)	former (2)	24:12
35:24	2:25	21:23 27:7	20:5 36:6	geographical
expansion (13)	far (1)	finds (3)	formerly (3)	20:4 36:5
21:22 22:10	4:17	8:10 12:22	20:4 32:13	Georgette (4)
24:10,11,18	favor (70)	16:5	36:6	1:21 5:10,13
24:22 27:14	10:2,6,11,13	fine (1)	fortunate (1)	5:16
27:22 28:14	10:2,6,11,13	26:23	5:19	getting (1)
30:8,15 31:4		fire (2)	forward (3)	38:19
31:11	10:23,23,24 10:25 11:2,3	32:2,6	32:17 36:19	give (1)
expedited (1)	T TU:Z3 TT:Z.3	first (6)	41:8	ZIVC (I)

. 1		***			Page 47
	40:10	38:10	Hello (1)	26:7	24:9
	Given (1)	great (2)	33:2	include (2)	
	32:16	5:18 34:4	hereunto (1)	19:22 34:9	Interestingly 34:13
	giving (1)	group (1)	42:10	included (1)	interim (1)
	3:10	22:8	Hi (1)	22:2	22:16
	Glen (7)	guide (1)	5:9	includes (1)	
	27:13 28:13,23	29:12	highly (1)	29:14	introduce (1) 5:10
	33:8,13 34:5	29.12	23:22	including (1)	
	34:8	H	Hills (1)	41:9	introduced (2) 21:14 23:21
1	GMR (6)	half (2)	30:15		introduction
	8:13,22 9:6	4:23 34:8	hired (1)	incomplete (1) 22:20	5:8
	12:25 13:11	hand (2)	5:14	,	
	13:17	25:19 42:11		incredulous (1) 23:9	Island (5)
		handle (1)	hold (1) 6:5		6:25 19:24
	go (2) 9:25 36:19	8:4		indicates (1) 27:24	20:2 35:25 36:3
		hard (1)	hopefully (1)		
	goes (1)	10:20	7:20	indicating (1)	issue (1)
	12:17	harm (1)	Hospital (1)	27:15	26:12
	Goffin (3)	23:10	30:3	individual (3)	issues (5)
	2:12 10:7	health (6)	Hromadka (3)	22:8 29:13,18	8:19 13:7
	14:10	5:11,14,16	1:24 42:4,15	individuals (2)	16:14 34:20
	going (12)	8:18 13:6	Hunter (6)	26:9 33:24	35:2
	5:12,22 6:11	16:13	8:12,22 9:6	influence (1)	item (1)
	6:14,20 9:23	healthy (1)	12:25 13:10	23:16	8:6
	27:10 35:9,14	5:5	13:17	influenced (1)	items (3)
	35:15 36:22	hear (5)	I	23:11	5:24 6:13 8:4
	39:8	10:21 25:21	idea (1)	information (19:17 26:8	J
	Gombo (3) 1:18 3:24 12:3	26:18,20	34:17		Jacobs (3)
		40:12	identifies (1)	27:4,7,8 28:4	2:2 10:4 36:24
	good (2) 3:23 41:5	heard (1)	31:7	28:7,8,11 29:2,11 31:2	James (1)
	GOVAC (2)	10:21	image (1)	31:5 35:20	7:16
	22:7 24:21	hearing (31)	24:24	injured (1)	Jerrold (3)
	GOVAC's (3)	9:8,12 13:19	imagined (1)	4:7	1:14 2:23
	24:13,17,22	13:24 14:2	4:24	insinuated (1)	37:13
	Grace (7)	17:3,7,10	immediately	23:8	Jerry (8)
	1:11 2:6 10:10	19:16,19 21:3	7:24 8:2	insinuations	1:18 3:24 11:5
	10:23 14:11	21:13,15,21	impacts (2)	21:20	12:3 14:21
	17:15 37:3	22:3,21 23:7	31:12,22	integrity (1)	17:25 33:4
	granted (3)	23:22 25:6,9	important (2)	25:11	40:13
	8:25 13:13	26:17 27:3	4:18 27:7	intellectual (1)	Jersey (1)
	16:20	28:6,18 29:24	importantly (29:15	7:10
	Graves (11)	31:6 33:7	28:7	intent (1)	job (2)
	1:17 3:22,23	34:7 35:9,19	inaccuracies	23:10	5:2,18
	11:25 12:2	35:21	30:24	intention (1)	John (7)
	15:13,14	hears (1)	inaccurate (3)	22:13	1:12 2:18
	18:21,22 38:9	27:8	21:19 23:23	intentions (1)	10:13,24
	10.21,22 30.7	<u> </u>			

				raye 40
14:15 17:19	22:23 24:2	logistic (1)	35:20	34:1 35:1,8
		logistic (1) 32:5		36:1 37:1
37:7	34:15		materials (1)	
Johnstone (12)	land (2)	Long (2)	19:18	38:1,20 39:1
1:12 2:19,20	17:5 41:10	19:23 35:25	matter (4)	40:1 41:1,9
10:14,15,25	Langsam (29)	longer (1)	19:18,20 35:21	41:13
14:15,16	1:9 4:2,3,11	19:13	35:22	meetings (1)
17:19,20 37:7	5:22 6:8,8,11	look (3)	Mazzola (1)	7:20
37:8	12:9,11,14	25:13 31:24	2:8	Meghan (5)
Josef (6)	15:15,16,21	41:8	McEACHIN	1:16 3:16
1:13 2:25 11:7	18:23,24 19:7	looking (1)	1:11 2:10,11	11:21 18:17
14:23 18:3	25:18,21 26:4	27:3	10:5,6,22	38:5
37:15	26:24 32:24	lot (2)	14:8,9 17:13	Meisels (12)
Joseph (1)	35:5 38:17	6:23,23	17:14 36:25	1:18 2:14,15
7:6	39:3,16,22	louder (1)	37:2	10:12,13,24
July (2)	40:19 41:3	11:4	MD (3)	14:13,14
1:6 42:11	large (3)	loudly (1)	1:10,13,14	17:17,18 37:5
June (1)	26:4 40:5,16	14:5	means (4)	37:6
40:5	Laurie (5)	Lukens (1)	9:15,16 36:18	member (1)
	4:6 12:4 40:7	7:5	36:19	9:3
K	40:10,16	Lyons (7)	media (2)	members (8)
Keane (2)	Lax (1)	21:18,19 23:7	24:21 25:10	24:6,7 26:2,5
1:21 5:10	3:6	23:20,24	medical (17)	26:11 33:25
Keisha (1)	leave (1)	24:15,25	1:2 8:9,16	40:9,9
6:24	41:17		12:22 13:4	membership
Kessel (25)	Let's (3)	M	16:4,8,11,18	33:16
1:13,16 2:21	10:8 29:25	maintain (1)	17:2 22:11,15	mental (3)
2:22 3:16,17	38:19	31:9	22:16 23:2,4	5:11,14,16
10:18,19 11:2	letter (4)	majority (1)	25:5 30:13	merits (1)
11:21,22	22:9,25 24:15	36:15	meet (1)	22:23
14:19,20	25:4	making (3)	40:4	met (4)
17:23,24	Levinsky (9)	24:21 28:16	meeting (52)	8:2 24:5,7 40:5
18:17,18 33:2	1:19 4:4,5 12:5	40:13	1:5 2:1 3:1 4:1	Michael (2)
33:3 37:11,12	12:6 18:25	Maneisha (1)	5:1,23 6:1,12	21:18,19
38:5,6 40:17	19:2 38:11,12	7:14	6:16 7:1 8:1,3	microphone (
40:17	Lewis (2)	Manfred (5)	9:1 10:1 11:1	21:7
Kings (1)	20:3 36:4	1:17 3:20	12:1 13:1,21	Mind (1)
8:24	light (1)	11:23 18:19	14:1 15:1,21	30:13
know (2)	21:19	38:7	16:1,23 17:1	minute (1)
2:13 10:7	lineup (1)	Marie (2)	18:1 19:1	6:16
knowledge (1)	31:16	1:19 5:7	20:1,24 21:1	minutes (1)
30:9	little (1)	Martina (6)	22:1 23:1	6:15
knows (1)	11:3	1:10 3:7 11:11	24:1 25:1	misleading (1)
23:12	LLC (9)	15:3 18:7	26:1 27:1	29:24
	8:13,23 9:7	37:19	28:1 29:1	misrepresent
L	13:2,11 16:7	material (3)	30:1 31:1	25:14
lack (3)	16:9,18,19	26:16 28:22	32:1 33:1	misrepresent
	10.9,10,19	20.10 20.22	32.1 33.1	misi cpi esent
1				

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*****	raye 49
25:16	N (1)	nice (1)	19:19 27:3	37:18
mistruths (1)	1:8	4:15	28:18 33:7	outcome (1)
30:23	name (3)	night (1)	35:22	23:16
Mitch (1)	5:4 6:5,7	41:5	okay (5)	overlap (2)
4:8	names (1)	nominating (2)	19:13 21:12	24:12 32:7
Mitchell (5)	40:11	40:8,14	23:20 26:22	
1:9 12:7 15:17	nametags (1)	nominations	39:21	P
19:3 38:13	6:4	39:25 40:3	Once (2)	P (2)
model (1)	Nancy (6)	non-seconde	14:6 19:5	1:8,8
32:5	1:15 11:15	19:10	open (2)	p.m (2)
moment (2)	15:7 18:11	normal (1)	17:7 21:3	1:6 41:18
4:19 26:19	20:16 37:23	41:8	operating (11)	package (1)
monetary (1)	nay (1)	North (2)	8:12,21,23	29:14
24:23	40:23	20:2 36:3	12:24 13:9,11	paragon (1)
months (1)	need (26)	Notary (1)	16:6,17,19	28:12
30:16	8:5 9:17 19:11	42:5	30:22 31:10	Parkway (2)
Mordi (1)	20:11,11,15	Noted (1)	operational (3)	20:2 36:4
3:6	21:6 23:5	41:18	30:25 31:9	PARLIAME
motion (32)	25:12 26:6,10	notes (1)	32:5	1:9
8:6 9:2,13	26:15 27:16	42:9	opinion (1)	partiality (1)
12:14,19,20	28:2 29:21	November (2)	28:18	23:6
15:21 16:2	30:9 31:18	27:12 28:13	opportunitie	participants
17:9 19:7,8	34:4,10,18,22	number (2)	32:11	4:22
19:10,12	34:24 35:4	9:18 26:5	opportunity	parties (1)
20:10,11,13	38:21 40:11	numbers (1)	21:16 22:4	20:21
35:12,14	40:19	33:19	Oppose (1)	pass (2)
36:16,19,20	needs (1)	nurses (1)	37:2	9:21 36:15
38:17 39:16	32:5	4:21	opposed (9)	passed (1)
39:17,18,19	neighboring	0	14:7 15:19	14:4
39:20 40:14	32:3		37:18,20 38:6	passes (3)
40:18 41:11	never (1)	Oakes (1)	38:8,10,12,14	12:14 15:22
41:12,15	24:5	7:7	opposing (1)	19:7
motivated (1)	new (38)	Oaks (4)	20:20	path (1)
23:9	1:3 4:20 5:10	27:13 28:14,24 33:13	order (1)	32:15
motto (1)	5:18 6:21,25		21:25	patients (1) 30:5
32:9	7:4,6,10,14	Oaks' (3) 33:8 34:5,8	organization	pattern (1)
move (7)	7:16 8:10,16	obtained (1)	22:8	30:16
5:23 7:24 9:14	8:17,24 13:5	31:5	organization	pave (1)
13:24 14:2	13:5,12 15:22	obviously (1)	30:25	31:16
32:17 35:9	16:4,8,12,12	9:15	original (2) 27:6 42:8	penalty (4)
multiple (1) 30:23	16:19,20 17:2 19:15 28:6,16	offer (1)		7:4,10,14,18
municipal (1)	29:4,5,18	23:17	Orlanski (12) 1:15 3:4,5 11:9	people (3)
34:13	30:12 33:17	Officer (1)	11:10 14:25	9:23 26:20
37.13	35:17 39:24	29:25	15:2 18:5,6	39:4
N	41:7 42:6	officer's (5)	20:13 37:17	percentage (1)
	11.7 42.0		20.13 37.17	[
L				

7				Page 50
33:12	potential (1)	21:21,25	reappointed	12:21 13:4
person's (1)	31:12	22:21 23:7,18	40:6	16:3,11 31:23
28:20	Powell (11)	23:21 25:6		40:8
personally (1)	1:9 4:8,9 12:7	26:10,15,17	reason (2) 21:12 25:2	
5:4	12:8 15:17,18	1 ' '		regularly (1)
Philbin (1)	19:3,4 38:13	27:16 28:2,6 29:10 31:5	reasons (6)	30:4
7:17	38:14		39:2,3,5,6,9,9	related (1)
		34:7 35:18	rebuttal (2)	21:13
physician (1) 30:2	prepared (1)	42:5	21:16 22:3	relationship
	21:10	publicly (2)	receipt (3)	30:6
physicians (1) 4:21	present (5)	21:24 23:15	8:11 12:23	relevant (1)
	2:11,17 3:5 4:3	purposes (1)	16:5	29:19
piece (1)	4:16	24:23	recommend (1)	REMAC (1)
29:22	presented (3)	put (2)	32:16	5:13
place (2)	25:7 28:8 33:6	22:7 33:15	recommenda	remarks (2)
34:7,7	pressure (1)		34:23 36:11	21:10,17
plagiarism (1)	22:7	Q	40:15	Remember (1)
33:11	prevented (1)	Queens (2)	recommende	9:17
plagiarized (5)	28:15	8:24 30:3	20:7 36:9	remembered
25:8 28:9	previous (2)	question (1)	40:10	5:3
33:10,21 34:2	6:16 31:19	28:3	recommends	REMSCO (59)
plans (3)	prior (2)	questions (1)	8:20 13:8	1:5,19,20,20
24:3,9,12	32:22 33:5	25:18	16:16 19:19	1:21,21 2:1
plate (1)	probation (2)	quick (1)	35:21	3:1 4:1,19 5:1
4:25	7:9,13	40:4	record (4)	5:5 6:1 7:1
play (1)	proceed (1)	quickly (4)	19:18 22:2	8:1 9:1,4 10:1
33:11	4:13	5:23 6:14 7:22	29:25 39:6	11:1 12:1
please (4)	proceeded (1)	38:20	records (1)	13:1 14:1
10:2 12:16	22:20	quorum (2)	35:20	15:1 16:1
14:4 17:11	proceedings (4:10,11	recourse (1)	17:1 18:1
point (1)	31:20	n	21:23	19:1,15 20:1
25:3	process (2)	R	recuse (5)	20:10 21:1,25
pointed (1)	28:18,24	R (2)	9:4 13:18	22:1 23:1
30:11	professionali	1:8 42:2	16:23 17:5	24:1 25:1,11
poor (2)	24:25	read (2)	20:25	26:1 27:1
4:6 30:18	program (1)	6:22 35:14	recused (2)	28:1 29:1,5
position (1)	5:11	reaffirmed (1)	9:10 13:22	30:1 31:1
22:21	project (1)	25:3	referenced (2)	32:1 33:1,25
positive (3)	5:17	reallocated (1)	33:4,5	33:25 34:1
9:17 35:13	property (1)	34:17	regarding (1)	35:1,15,17
36:15	29:15	really (3)	27:9	36:1,73,17
possible (1)	proposed (1)	10:20 25:15	region (1)	38:1 39:1,10
38:20	30:22	26:10	25:11	40:1 41:1
possibly (1)	provided (2)	realm (1)	region's (1)	repeat (1)
26:7		31:14	31:13	21:11
	1 31:6 34:4			
	31:6 34:4 public (21)	reappoint (1)		
postings (2) 24:21 25:10	public (21) 19:16 21:9,13	reappoint (1) 40:15	Regional (9) 1:2 8:9,15	replacement 22:17

· /	*			Page 51
(12)	16.14	G (1)	20.4266	l .,
report (13)	16:14	Saugerties (1)	20:4 36:6	situation (1)
6:15,19,20	revenue (1)	7:6	service (1)	32:19
7:19,20,23,25	33:16	saw (1)	23:25	skewed (1)
19:20 27:4	review (6)	25:2	Services (13)	28:19
33:7 35:22	8:14,18 13:2,6	says (1)	1:2 8:9,13,16	skip (1)
38:21 39:25	16:9,14	9:17	8:23 9:7	7:23
reporter (3)	reviewed (2)	Schenker (11)	12:22 13:2,4	slander (2)
1:23 10:20	19:17 35:19	1:13 3:2,3 11:7	13:11,17 16:4	33:24 34:3
42:4	revoked (4)	11:8 14:23,24	16:11	social (2)
representatio	6:24 7:5,15,17	18:3,4 37:15	set (3)	24:20 25:10
28:10	reward (1)	37:16	29:25 32:11	somebody (1)
request (1)	25:17	Scott (8)	42:10	20:9
32:7	right (2)	1:15,20 3:4	seven (1)	South (2)
requested (1)	4:17 6:20	11:9 14:25	38:16	19:24 36:2
30:8	road (1)	18:5 20:13	sheet (1)	space (2)
requesting (1)	23:20	37:17	9:21	9:9 13:16
27:22	Robert (14)	screen (1)	shifted (1)	speak (4)
requests (1)	1:10,12 2:16	4:16	34:14	6:4 11:3 14:4
33:17	3:14 7:5	Sean (6)	short (1)	25:23
required (1)	10:16,25	1:17 3:22	26:4	specific (1)
36:14	11:19 14:17	11:25 15:13	shorthand (1)	29:20
requirement	15:11 17:21	18:21 38:9	42:4	split (1)
29:6	18:15 37:9	seat (2)	show (3)	32:10
	38:3	40:6,16	26:9 34:21	
research (1)			35:4	staff (7)
29:18	Rochester (1)	second (5)		1:19,20,20,21
researching (1)	7:14	19:11 20:15,16	showing (1)	1:21 4:19
29:2	roll (5)	40:10 41:4	26:6	7:23
reside (1)	9:14 14:3	seconded (3)	shown (3)	state (11)
30:7	17:10 35:10	8:6 12:20 16:2	26:15 34:11,25	6:5,21 8:17
resigned (1)	40:19	seconding (1)	shows (1)	13:6 15:22
22:15	Rosedale (1)	40:17	31:8	16:13 29:5
resources (3)	7:16	SECRETAR	sign (4)	31:25 33:18
24:11 31:18	Rotkowitz (1)	1:10	12:16 17:12	39:8 42:5
34:16	30:2	sectors (1)	41:14,17	stated (3)
respond (2)	Rotkowitz's (31:17	signature (2)	35:12 39:7,9
21:24 22:5	29:23	see (3)	9:22 35:11	statement (2)
response (10)		4:15 6:6 31:25	signatures (1)	21:5,10
2:3,5,9 3:25	S	seeing (2)	9:23	statements (3)
16:8,18 17:2	S (1)	30:16 41:8	signed (2)	21:12,20 26:13
21:17 40:22	1:8	sense (1)	30:12 41:12	Staten (1)
41:16	sabotage (1)	35:13	signing (1)	6:25
responsibilit	22:14	sent (2)	41:13	statistical (1)
9:6 13:16	Samia (7)	12:15 15:22	sitting (7)	29:20
16:25 20:19	1:11 2:10 10:5	series (1)	9:3,8 13:15,19	statistics (5)
revealed (3)	10:22 14:8	6:20	16:22 17:3	32:20 33:15
8:19 13:7	17:13 36:25	served (2)	20:24	34:3,3,10
0.17 13.7		Sel veu (2)	20.21	55,5,10

status (1)	suspension (3)	26:24 27:2	true (1)	31:22
30:25	7:3,8,12	32:23 35:5	42:7	various (1)
stay (2)	Sybil (1)	41:5,6	truthful (3)	31:21
5:5 22:16	7:2	thing (2)	28:9 31:8	verified (1)
stayed (3)	system (3)	6:18,19	32:18	31:6
7:3,8,12	4:22 31:13,23	things (1)	try (1)	VICE (1)
stenographer	systems (1)	33:20	11:3	1:10
6:2 39:6	31:24	think (8)	trying (1)	VIDEOCON
stenographic		4:18 9:19 21:6	23:16	1:8,11,12,14
42:8	T	25:12,15	Tuesday (1)	1:16,16,17,21
step (5)	T (2)	26:18 39:3,7	1:6	1:24
22:11,25 23:8	42:2,2	three (2)	turned (3)	Vincent (7)
25:5 41:7	table (5)	7:9,13	20:8 26:2	1:16 3:9,10
stepped (1)	9:9 13:15,20	thumbs (1)	39:19	11:13 15:5
4:25	17:4 20:23	3:10		18:9 37:21
straight (1)	tag (1)	tie (1)	U	virtual (4)
30:2	6:6	36:23	understand (1)	3:11 9:9 13:15
strike (1)	take (3)	time (12)	23:5	35:7
35:16	4:18 23:20	8:4 9:25 22:6	unfinished (1)	virtue (2)
strong (1)	25:12	24:16 32:20	39:23	16:24 27:23
25:7	talk (2)	34:5,10,11,18	Unfortunatel	volume (2)
subject (1)	23:15 27:10	34:22,25	34:6	33:16 34:15
41:12	TAN (1)	41:18	UNG (1)	volunteer (10)
submission (2)	1:21	today (1)	1:20	20:5 21:22
28:8 32:21	targeting (1)	6:13	units (1)	27:13,17 32:2
submit (1)	21:20	tonight (3)	34:14	32:6,9,14
30:20	team (2)	27:11,18 28:4	unlimited (2)	33:14 36:7
submitted (7)	5:15,16	top (1)	29:22 31:18	Volunteer's (1)
19:21 26:17	tell (1)	34:20	unprofession	28:24
27:21 28:15	38:23	transcript (2)	30:18	volunteers (2)
28:20 33:19	templates (1)	42:7,8	use (1)	4:20 32:10
35:23	29:7	Transfer (6)	28:4	vote (21)
Submitting (1)	term (2)	8:12,21 12:24	uses (1)	8:5 9:14,19,20
29:13	40:11 41:4	13:9 16:6,16	27:20	12:10 13:22
subsequent (2)	territories (1)	transparency	utter (1)	14:3 17:11
27:6,9	32:3	24:3	33:8	21:2 27:10
succeed (1)	territory (4)	Travis (10)	V	35:10,11
22:22	19:22 27:14,23	1:13 2:21		36:10,12,17
support (6)	35:24	10:18,21 11:2	VAC (4)	36:22 38:15
22:9,25 24:15	testimony (4)	14:19 17:23	19:21 20:20	39:13,13
25:4 29:21	28:6 29:23	33:2 37:11	30:15 35:24	40:11,20
31:3	30:14 33:23	40:17	vacation (1)	voted (5)
sure (3)	thank (14)	tremendous (3:19	8:3 12:18
6:4 9:22 12:16	3:18 4:12,19	5:2	vaccination (1)	15:24 36:18
suspended (3)	5:5 6:7 12:15	tried (2)	5:17	38:25
7:2,7,11	15:21 21:8	22:24 23:14	varied (1)	votes (5)
	1	1	1	1

	ar a majdan magalan magalan daga magalan ang kanang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	×		Page	53
9:18 12:12	words (2)	Z	42:11		
19:6 36:14	26:11 35:16		72.11		
38:24	work (4)	Zoom (15)	3		
voting (4)	24:4 28:20	1:11,12,14,16			
9:3 36:10,12	29:17 30:20	1:16,17,21,24	4		
36:19		3:13 13:20	4/4/2021 (1)		
30:19	working (1)	16:23 17:4	7:12		
W	5:17	20:24 25:20	420239 (1)		
want (3)	works (1) 29:14	41:10	7:11		
20:9 25:22			427928 (1)		
39:25	world (1)	0	7:17		
wanted (1)	41:8	0 (4)	450703 (1)		
25:25	writing (1)	15:19,20 19:6	7:15		
	29:4	19:6	459159 (1)		
wants (1)	written (5)	0704 (2)	7:7		
5:7	12:17 14:3	8:13,22	467382 (1)		
warm (1)	26:16 28:23	0884 (2)	7:2		
38:19	29:16	16:7,18	1.2		
wasn't (1)			5		
33:21	X	11	5/21/2021 (1)		
way (2)	x (2)	10,000 (1)	6:25		
23:22 31:16	1:4,7	7:18	0.23		
we've (1)	Y	180967 (1)	6		
39:19		7:5	6/1/2021 (2)		
Weisz (2)	year (6)		7:3,6		
2:4 10:4	4:22 7:7,11	2	6/3/2021 (1)		
welcome (1)	29:2 32:22	2,000 (3)	7:16		
4:14	34:8	7:4,9,13	6:12 (1)		
West (2)	years (4)	2/3/2021 (1)	1:6		
20:4 36:5	5:3 7:9,13	7:8	1.0		
WHEREOF	23:25	20 (6)	7		
42:10	Yedidyah (4)	12:12 15:19	7/22/2021 (1)		
William (3)	1:9 4:2 15:15	19:5 23:25	7:18		
2:12 10:7	18:23	27:13,17	7:00 (1)		
14:10	York (30)	2021 (2)	41:18		
willing (1)	1:3 4:20 5:18	1:6 42:11	7162 (2)		
23:17	6:21 7:2,4,6	239665 (1)	12:25 13:10		
wish (3)	7:14,16 8:10	6:24	12.23 13.10		
12:9 19:12	8:17,17,24	23rd (2)	8		
35:8	13:5,5,12	19:24 36:2			
wishes (3)	15:22 16:4,8	24th (2)	9		
13:18 16:23	16:12,12,19	19:25 36:2	990s (1)		
20:21	16:20 17:2	26th (2)	33:18		
withdraw (3)	19:15 29:4,5	19:25 36:2			
22:9,25 25:4	33:18 35:17	27 (1)	* "		
WITNESS (1)	42:6	1:6		,	
42:10		27th (1)			
42:10	1	= / • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			



CORRECTION / ERRATA SHEET LEXITAS ____, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I have reviewed the transcript of my deposition / hearing taken on ______. The following changes are necessary to correct my testimony. **CASE CAPTION:** Page: Line: Should read / Corrected Testimony: Reason for Change Sworn to before me this _____ Testimony Deposition / Hearing Witness: Day of ____ X

Lexitas • Court Reporting • 800-788-0166

NOTARY PUBLIC

Administrative Offices 100 Merrick Road – Suite 320W Rockville Centre, NY 11570